UNITEDSTATESOFAMERICA FEDERALENERGYREGULATORYCOMMISSION

Trans-Elect,Inc.)	DocketNos.EC02 -23-000
MichiganTranscoHoldings,LP)	andER02 -320-000
ConsumersEnergyCompany)	
Michigan Electric Transmission Company)	

MOTIONOFMICHIGA NPUBLICSERVICECOMMISSION FORLATEINTERVENTION

PursuanttoRule214oftheCommission'sRulesofPracticeandProcedure,theMichigan Public Service Commission ("MPSC") hereby submits its motion for late intervention in the captionedproceedings.In supportofsuchmotion,MPSCstatesthefollowing:

1. Service of all pleadings, documents, and communications in this matter shall be made at the following address:

JenniferM.Granholm D.AttorneyGeneral K.

DavidA.Voges(P25143)
HenryJ.Boynton(P25242)
PatriciaS.Barone(P29560)
AssistantAttorneyGeneral
MichiganPublicServiceCommission
PublicSer viceDivision
6545MercantileWay,Suite15
Lansing,MI48911 -5984

DavidD'Alessandro KellyA.Daly HarveyL.Reiter Morrison&HeckerL.L.P. 115018 thStreetNW,Suite800 Washington,DC20036

- 2. TheMichiganPublicServiceCommission("MPSC")isanagencyofthe

 StateofMichigan,createdby1939P.A.3,Mich.CompiledLaws460.1 *etseq* .;Mich.Stat.Ann.

 22.13(A) *etseq* .,astheMichiganregulatoryagencyhavingjurisdictionandauthoritytocontrol andregulaterates,charges,andconditionsofservicefortheretailsaleofelectricityintheState.

 TheMPSCintervenesinthismatterpursuanttotheauthorityconferred byapplicablestate statutes,rules,andprocedures.
- 3. MPSC is vitally interested in matters involving the provision of electrical service to its citizens located within its borders and the rates to be charged Michigan utilities and their customers. MPSC thus has a direct interest in this proceeding that cannot be adequately represented by any other party. Therefore, MPSC 's participation and intervention is in the public interest.
- 4. MPSC did not intervene in this case initially because it had not deter mined that the filing raise dissues of concern.
- 5. It was not until MPSC had an opportunity to review the Commission's February 13,2002 Order that it became aware of an issue of concern. Specifically, MPSC is concerned that the February 13 Order could be interpreted as authorizing the recovery by Michigan Transco LLP of taxes which it does not incur or otherwise pay to Consumers Energy. The background and details of such concern are set forth in a separate motion for clarification and alternative petition for rehearing simultaneously filed by MPSC with this motion.

Based on the foregoing, MPSC respectfully submits that good cause has been demonstratedinsupportoftheCommission'sapprovalforthisinstantmotion.

Respectfullysubmitted,

MICHIGANPUBLICSERVICECOMMISSION

Bytheircounsel:
JenniferM.Granholm ,
AttorneyGeneraloftheStateofMichigan
DavidA.Voges(P25143)
HenryJ.Boynton(P25242)
PatriciaS.Barone(P29560)
AssistantAttorneysGeneral
6545MercantileWay,Suite15
Lansing,MI48911 -5984
(517)334 -7650

HarveyL.Reiter DavidD'Aless andro SpecialAttorneysGeneral Morrison&HeckerL.L.P. 115018thStreet,NW,Suite800 Washington,DC20036 (202)785 -9100

Dated: March15,2002

CERTIFICATEOFSERVICE

IherebycertifythatIhavethisdayservedacopyoftheforegoingdocumentbyfirstclass mailuponeachpartyontheofficialservicelistcompiledbytheSecretary inthisproceeding.

DatedatWashington,D.C.,this15 thdayofMarch,2002.

DavidD'Alessandro